Actinomycete diversity associated with foaming in activated sludge plants

M Goodfellow¹, R Davenport^{1,2}, FM Stainsby¹ and TP Curtis²

Departments of ¹Microbiology; ²Civil Engineering, University of Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK

Large numbers of mycolic acid-containing actinomycetes were isolated from foam and scum samples taken from three activated-sludge sewage-treatment plants using several selective isolation media. Organisms presumptively identified as gordonae formed the dominant population in all of the samples. A representative set of these strains have chemical properties consistent with their classification in the genus *Gordona*. Forty-eight of the *Gordona* strains were compared through 165 unit characters with the type strains of validly described species of *Gordona*. The resultant data were examined using the Jaccard and simple matching coefficients and clustering achieved using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages algorithm. The numerical classification was only marginally affected by the statistics used or by test error, estimated as 3.92%. The isolates were assigned to five multi-membered and 28 single-membered clusters defined by the simple matching coefficient at the 89% similarity level. With few exceptions, the isolates were sharply separated from the *Gordona* marker strains. Essentially the same classification was obtained when the test strains were examined using a Curie-point pyrolysis mass spectrometric procedure. It can be concluded that the gordonae form a heterogeneous taxonomic group, the members of which can be distinguished from representatives of validly described species of *Gordona*.

Keywords: actinomycete diversity; chemotaxonomy; numerical taxonomy; pyrolysis mass spectrometry; activated sludge foam

Introduction

Biological wastewater treatment, probably the single most important use of microorganisms, is central to the protection of the environment. The activated sludge process is the most commonly employed method for the treatment of wastewater. Typically, this is a continuous flow process where a liquid organic waste, usually sewage, is aerated in the presence of an inoculum composed of undefined, but complex, bacterial consortia. The waste is converted into sludge and carbon dioxide; the sludge is allowed to settle and a portion fed back into the system to form the inoculum, that is, the activated sludge.

Little is known about the numbers, kinds and activities of organisms which form bacterial consortia or flocs. This ignorance can be partly attributed to pragmatism as many activated sludge process developments have been achieved by heuristic improvements guided by a vague understanding of the microbial processes. The dearth of knowledge also has a methodological basis as microbiologists, until recently, lacked adequate tools to investigate complex microbial communities. It is necessary to determine the extent of microbial diversity in order to monitor the microbiology of the activated sludge process and to prevent the formation of activated sludge foams.

The formation of stable, often chocolate-coloured, viscous foams or scums on the surfaces of activated sludge aeration tanks was first reported in 1969 [2]. The occurrence of foam is usually associated with mycolic acid-containing actinomycetes and '*Microthrix parvicella*' [9,14,33,60]. Mycolic acid-containing organisms have hydrophobic cell surfaces, a property which has been related to the presence of free long-chain mycolic acids [3], and when present in sufficient numbers they render flocs hydrophobic and hence amenable to the attachment of air bubbles. The air bubble-floc aggregates are less dense than water and hence float to the surface of activated sludge where they accumulate as foam.

Activated sludge foams cause a number of problems which include a reduction in oxygen transfer at the surfaces of mechanically aerated basins, poorer effluent quality, carriage and dispersal of potential microbial pathogens in wind-blown scum, and drying out of foam with resultant cleaning and odour problems [33,60]. Sewage treatment plants designed to remove phosphorus seem particularly prone to foaming [67]. There are no clear guidelines to prevent or control the accumulation of foam; strategies which work in one location may not work in another. The failure of a single heuristic solution to prevent the formation of foam implies that the underlying causes of foaming are multifactorial and involve taxonomically diverse bacteria predominated by actinomycetes.

Engineering solutions to the problem of foaming require an understanding of the ecology of the causal organisms, this in turn presupposes an appreciation of their taxonomic diversity and identity. Early work relied heavily on the identification of causal organisms by simple morphological examination [13]. According to this scheme, bacteria were assigned to groups based on a few, subjectively weighted morphological and staining properties. The reliance placed on such artificial groups has been the source of confusion

Correspondence: Professor M Goodfellow, Department of Microbiology, The Medical School, Framlington Place, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH, UK

Received 13 March 1996; accepted 28 May 1996

in actinomycete systematics, not least in the classification and identification of mycolic acid-containing actinomycetes [18,60]. These organisms have many phenotypic properties in common [18], form a distinct phyletic line [10,46,50], and can be assigned to seven genera, namely *Corynebacterium*, *Dietzia*, *Gordona*, *Mycobacterium*, *Nocardia*, *Rhodococcus* and *Tsukamurella*, using a combination of chemical, molecular and morphological features [10,18,31,47].

The first comprehensive attempt to isolate and characterise actinomycetes associated with activated sludge foaming was made by Lechevalier et al [36] who examined 21 plants located in nine states across the USA. The predominant species were found to be Nocardia amarae, which was isolated from 15 plants, and 'Nocardia rhodochrous' which was recovered from seven plants. Nocardia amarae has subsequently been isolated from foaming sludge in various parts of the world [6,8,9,12,30,40,52,62]. Lemmer and Kroppenstedt [37] studied the distribution of actinomycetes in scum samples taken from sewage treatment plants in Germany and Switzerland; they isolated 11 strains of Rhodococcus, two of Tsukamurella and a single strain of Nocardia amarae, but members of only one species were associated with any one plant. In contrast, Sezgin et al [56] found that the most common isolates in activated sludge scum taken from two sewage treatment plants in the USA were Nocardia amarae and Nocardia asteroides. A novel actinomycete with a pine-tree-like micromorphology, Nocardia pinensis, is the cause of extensive foaming on the surface of aeration tanks in inactivated-sludge sewagetreatment plants in Australia [6,55,61].

It has been postulated that activated sludge foams can be attributed mainly to members of the genus *Nocardia* [33]. However, given the reclassification of *Nocardia amarae* in the genus *Gordona* as *Gordona amarae* [26,35,50] and the discovery that *Nocardia pinensis* needs to be reclassified [8], it is clear that mycolic acid-containing actinomycetes other than nocardiae are involved. Similarly, organisms either labelled '*Nocardia rhodochrous*' or simply referred to as nocardioform actinomycetes [36,62] undoubtedly included members of the genera *Gordona*, *Rhodococcus* and *Tsukamurella*.

The primary aim of the present study was to determine the taxonomic diversity of mycolic acid-containing actinomycetes in foam collected from three activated-sludge sewage-treatment plants.

Materials and methods

Selective isolation and enumeration

Collection of samples: Samples of activated sludge foam or scum were collected from three sewage treatment works between April and July 1995. Grab samples of surface scum and underlying mixed liquor were taken from the aeration zones of the mainstream (University of Capetown configuration) and the sidestream Tetra Phostrip® processes at Milcote Pilot Sewage Treatment Plant, Stratford-upon-Avon, UK (UTM Zone 30 [3 degrees West] 586490 mE 5781270 mN); additional samples were taken from the secondary clarifiers. Similarly, grab samples of

foam were collected from selected aeration lanes and the corresponding secondary clarifiers at Wanlip Sewage Treatment Works, Leics, UK (UTM Zone 30 [3 degrees West] 627280 mE 5840450 mN). Foam samples were also taken from the corresponding sites at the northern and southern sectors of the Stoke Bardolph Water Reclamation Works, Notts, UK (UTM Zone 30 [3 degrees West] 630460 mE 5870800 mN), namely from the mixed liquor aeration tanks and corresponding secondary clarifier still boxes. Part of the individual samples from each of the plants were bulked to give three composite samples; both individual and composite samples were made up to 10 ml with quarter strength Ringer's solution. Individual wastewater samples taken from the inlet streams at the Milcote and Wanlip plants were treated in the same way. All of the samples were stored at 4°C and were examined within 24 h of collection.

Direct enumeration of bacterial populations: The total bacterial counts in the scum and foam samples taken from the Milcote and Wanlip sites were estimated using epifluorescence microscopy. Membrane filtration and staining of the microbial cells was carried out using the nucleic acid-specific fluorochrome 4',6-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), as described previously [66]. Twenty graticules were examined for each slide preparation and the total bacterial counts calculated using the formula of Kepner and Pratt [34].

Selective isolation: Mycolic acid-containing actinomycetes belonging to the genera Gordona, Nocardia, Rhodococcus and Tsukamurella were sought from both individual and composite samples using appropriate selective isolation procedures. Aliquots (0.1 ml) from each of the 10^{-4} to 10^{-6} dilutions, prepared from both the individual and composite samples, were spread over the surface of glucose yeast extract agar plates (GYEA; [28]) supplemented with cycloheximide (50 μ g ml⁻¹; Sigma, Poole, Dorset, UK) and GYEA plates supplemented with cycloheximide (50 μ g ml⁻¹) and nalidixic acid (20 μ g ml⁻¹). Similarly, 0.1-ml aliquots from the 10^{-4} to 10^{-6} dilutions, prepared from the three composite samples, were plated out onto modified Czapek's [29] and tryptone yeast extract agars (TYEA; [6]). The Stoke Bardolph composite samples, which were examined in an initial survey, were also plated out onto Diagnostic Sensitivity Test agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hants, UK) supplemented cycloheximide $(50 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}),$ chlortetracycline with (45 μ g ml⁻¹), methacycline (10 μ g ml⁻¹) and nystatin $(50 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1})$ to detect norcardiae [44], and onto M3 [49] and Münz paraffin agars [42] for the isolation of rhodococci. In all cases, isolation plates, five per dilution, were incubated at 30°C for 14 days. The numbers of gordonae, rhodococci and tsukamurellae growing on the GYEA plates supplemented with cycloheximide were expressed as the number of colony-forming units (CFUs) per ml sample.

Selection of isolates: One hundred and twenty-seven isolates, presumptively identified as gordonae, were subcultured onto GYEA plates [28] and incubated at 30°C for 5 days. The strains were checked for purity by microscopic examination of Gram-stained [48] and acid-alcohol fast-

stained [27] smears. Approximately equal numbers of isolates were taken from the GYEA, TYEA and modified Czapek's agar plates prepared using the individual and composite samples. The isolates were maintained as pure cultures on GYEA plates held at 4°C and as glycerol suspensions (20%, v/v) at -20° C [68].

Taxonomic studies

Lipid analyses: Both isolates and control strains were grown on GYEA plates for 5 days at 37°C. Biomass (*ca* 50 mg) was degraded by acid methanolysis and hexane extracts of the resultant methanolysates examined for mycolic acid methyl esters (MAMES) by single-dimensional thin-layer chromatography (TLC), as described previously [38]. The mobility of the MAMES extracted from the isolates were compared with those from the control strains, namely *Gordona bronchialis* N654^T (^T, type strain), *Nocardia asteroides* N317^T, *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* N54^T and *Tsukamurella paurometabola* JC7^T.

The small-scale procedure of Minnikin *et al* [39] was used to extract isoprenoid quinones from representative isolates (Table 1). Purified isoprenoid quinones were separated by HPLC using a Former 425 instrument (Kontron Instruments Ltd, Watford, Herts, UK) fitted with a Spherisorb (ODS) analytical HPLC column (25 cm long; particle size 5 μ m; Jones Chromatography, Hengoed, Mid-Glamorgan, UK); acetonitrile-isopropanol (75 : 25, v/v; HPLC grade, Fisons, Loughborough, Leics, UK), with a flow rate of 1.0 ml min⁻¹ at room temperature, was used as the mobile phase. The isoprenoid quinones were detected at 254 nm and their retention times compared with those of standards extracted from *Gordona bronchialis* N654^T, *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* N54^T and *Tsukamurella paurometabola* JC7^T.

Numerical classification: Forty-eight representative isolates assigned to the genus Gordona on the basis of chemical data were compared with the type strains of the validly described species of Gordona for 179 unit characters derived from the application of standard procedures [17,21,23]. Test results were recorded after 14 days incubation at 30°C, apart from the temperature tests. The resultant binary data were examined using the CLUSTAN IC program on an IBM-PC compatible computer using the simple matching $(S_{SM}; [63])$, which includes both positive and negative matches, and the Jaccard coefficient $(S_I; [57])$, which only includes positive matches. Clustering was achieved using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages algorithm (UPGMA; [59]). Cophenetic correlation values [64] were determined for each analysis using the CLUSTAN procedure 'COMPARE' in order to estimate how well the structure inherent in the similarity matrices was preserved by the clustering process. Three strains were examined in duplicate (Table 1) and an estimate of test variance calculated (formula 15; [58]) and used to determine the average probability (P) of an erroneous test result (formula 4; [58]).

Curie-point pyrolysis mass spectrometry: All of the organisms included in the numerical taxonomic study were analysed by Curie-point pyrolysis mass spectrometry

(PyMS) using an established procedure [11,53]. Triplicate samples of each organism were analysed as a single batch on a Horizon Instruments RAPyD-400X pyrolysis mass spectrometer and the resultant data analysed using the GENSTAT statistical package [41]. Five strains (Table 1) were examined in duplicate to determine test reproducibility.

Integrated ion counts for each sample at unit mass intervals from 51 to 400 were recorded and stored on hard discs together with total ion counts and the sample pyrolysis sequence. Variation between spectra due to inoculum size was normalised by iterative re-normalisation [32] and individual masses ranked according to their characteristicity values [15] prior to principal component analysis. Principal components (PCs) accounting for less than 0.1% of the total variance were deleted from the data set. Canonical variate analysis (CVA) was then used to generate sample groups using the retained PCs while taking into account the triplicate sets [69]. The products of the PC-CVA analyses were displayed as ordination plots where strains were positioned in multidimensional space according to the mid-point between each of the triplicate samples. Further details on the data-handling procedure can be found elsewhere [19].

Results

Selective isolation and enumeration

Relatively large numbers of isolates growing on GYEA, TYEA and modified Czapek's agar plates, seeded with either individual or composite foam or scum samples, were presumptively identified as gordonae as they formed rough greyish-pink, dry colonies. Smaller numbers of orange and pink mucoid colonies were presumptively identified as rhodococci while relatively large, orange to red colonies with irregular edges were considered to be tsukamurellae. The highest numbers of presumptive gordonae, rhodococci and tsukamurellae were detected on the GYEA plates supplemented with cycloheximide (Table 2). The highest numbers of presumptive gordonae and rhodococci were found at the Stoke Bardolph plant where foaming was most pronounced whereas the lowest counts were recorded from scum samples collected at the Milcote site. The highest actinomycete counts, notably those for presumptive gordonae, were recorded for samples collected at Stoke Bardolph in July when relatively high levels of foam were apparent. In contrast, significant numbers of actinomycetes were not isolated from the samples taken from the inlet streams at the Milcote or Wanlip plants or on the GYEA plates supplemented with nalidixic acid. Nocardiae were not detected on the DST agar plates seeded with dilutions of foam from the Stoke Bardolph plant, neither were rhodococci recovered on the corresponding M3 and Münz paraffin agar plates.

It is evident from Table 3 that the viable counts only represent a tiny fraction of a total bacterial counts.

Detection of chemical markers

Mycolic acid: Thin-layer chromatographic analysis of whole-organism methanolysates of the presumptive *Gor*-*dona* isolates revealed the presence of two spots which cor-

	 	_
analysis		
		_

Table 1 Designation, source and history of strains assigned to clusters defined at the 89% similarity level in the S_{SM}. UPGMA

Laboratory number	Designation	Source
Multi-membered clusters		
Cluster 1	~ · · · · ·	
SB04, SB011, SB029	Gordona isolates	Activated sludge foam, aeration basins, Stoke Bardolph
SB017 ⁺ , SB019, SB020, SB022, SB025, SB026, SB027	Gordona isolates	Activated sludge foam, aeration basins and final clarifiers, Stoke Bardolph
<i>Cluster 3</i> SB044, SB050, SB061, SB062, SB063	Gordona isolates	Activated sludge foam, aeration basins and final clarifiers, Stoke Bardolph
Cluster 4 SB016†, SB021†	Gordona isolates	Activated sludge foam, aeration basins and final clarifiers, Stoke Bardolph
Cluster 5 WA018 [†] , WA020, WA021 Cluster 6	Gordona isolates	Activated sludge foam, aeration basins, Wanlip
N667 ^T [‡]	Gordona amarae	MP Lechevalier, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, USA, Se6; foam, sewage treatment plant. Andover, Florida, USA
N1233 ^T	Gordona hydrophobica	DSM44015, Braunschweig, Germany; biofilter, waste gas treatment
Single-membered clusters		
Marker strains		
N934 ^{T‡}	Gordona aichiensis	M Tsukamura, Chubu Chest Hospital, Obu, Aichi-chen 474, Japan, E9028; sputum
N654 ^{T†§‡}	Gordona bronchialis	NCTC 10677; M Tsukamura, 3410; H Kondo; sputum, pulmonary lesion
N935 ^T	Gordona obuensis	M Tsukamura, Japan, E8183; sputum
N4 ^{T‡}	Gordona rubropertincta	NCIB 9664; ATCC 14352; RS Breed; RE Gordon, 154; soil
N930 ^T	Gordona sputi	M Tsukamura, Japan, E 3884; sputum
N659 ^{T‡}	Gordona terrae	NCTC 10669; M Tsukamura, 3612; soil
<i>Test strains</i> SB02, SB06, SB08, SB010, SB013, SB014, SB023, SB035, SB036	Gordona isolates	Activated sludge foam, aeration basins, Stoke Bardolph
SB048, SB052, SB053	Gordona isolates	Foam, final clarifiers, Stoke Bardolph
SB057, SB068†	Gordona isolates	Composite samples of activated sludge foam, aeration basins and final clari- fiers, Stoke Bardolph
ML02 [§] , ML03 [§] , ML04, ML07, ML09, ML012	Gordona isolates	Activated sludge foam, aeration basins, Milcote
ML016, ML020, ML022	Gordona isolates	Foam, final clarifiers, Milcote
WA06, WA015	Gordona isolates	Inlet stream, Wanlip
WA016, WA023	Gordona isolates	Activated sludge foam, aeration basins, Wanlip
WA031	Gordona isolates	Foam, final clarifier, Wanlip

^TType strain; †strains examined for isoprenoid quinones; §duplicated strains included in the numerical taxonomic study; ‡duplicated strains included in the pyrolysis mass spectrometric analysis.

ATCC, American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD, USA; DSM, Deutsche Sammlung von Mikrorganismen und Zellkulturen GmbH, Braunschweig, Germany; NCIB, National Collection of Industrial Bacteria, Aberdeen, Scotland, UK; NCTC, National Collection of Type Cultures, Central Public Health Laboratories, London, UK.

Table 2 Mean total viable counts of bacteria, and the proportion of the total counts attributed to gordonae, rhodococci and tsukamurellae, grown on glucose-yeast extract agar supplemented with cycloheximide (50 µg ml⁻¹) after seeding with dilutions of activated sludge foam and clarifier foam samples collected from Milcote, Stoke Bardolph and Wanlip Sewage Treatment Works and incubation at 30°C for 14 days

Sewage treatment	Month	Mean total viable	M6	Degree of foaming		
		$\times 10^8$ (CFU ml ⁻¹)	presumptive gordonae	presumptive rhodococci	presumptive tsukamurellae	
Milcote	April	0.13 ± 0.17	4	1	0	+
Stoke Bardolph	April	0.27 ± 0.19	20	8	0	+++
•	July	1.31 ± 0.26	40	11	3	++++
Wanlip	April	0.16 ± 0.22	10	2	0	++

CFU, colony forming units.

+ Surface scum, no stable foam; ++ moderate surface foam; +++ thick stable surface foam; ++++ extensive stable foam.

272

Sewage treat-Sample description Total viable count Total count $\times 10^7$ Percentage of the total count ment works $\times 10^7$ (CFU ml⁻¹) (ml^{-1}) represented by the total viable count (%) Milcote UCT, aeration basin (1) 0.17 ± 0.05 133.3 ± 78.5 0.13 UCT, aeration basin (2) 0.52 ± 0.11 301.3 ± 133.1 0.17 Phostrip® aeration basin 0.74 ± 0.13 260.1 ± 119.5 0.29 204.6 ± 174.4 0.23 Wanlip Aeration pocket 9, lane 8 0.46 ± 0.12 315.6 ± 125.9 Aeration pocket 9, lane 9 0.51 ± 0.19 0.16

Table 3 Total viable counts of bacteria obtained using the dilution plate technique compared to the total direct bacterial counts based on epifluorescence microscopy

CFU, colony forming units; UCT, University of Capetown configuration.

responded with MAMES (R_f values 0.68–0.71) and nonhydroxylated fatty acid methyl esters (R_f values 0.8–0.9; [38]). A MAME spot with an R_f value equivalent to those recorded for the isolates was detected in the methanolysate of *Gordona bronchialis* N654^T (R_f value 0.68). In contrast, the remaining control strains gave MAME spots with distinctly lower (*Nocardia asteroides* N317^T [R_f value 0.64] and *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* N54^T [R_f value 0.61]) or markedly higher (*Tsukamurella paurometabola* JC7^T [R_f value 0.76]) R_f values.

Isoprenoid quinones: Lipid extracts of all of the test strains contained menaquinones which co-chromatographed with the vitamin K standard (Sigma). The five representative *Gordona* isolates (Table 1) contained dihydrogenated menaquinones with nine isoprene units (MK-9 [H₂]) as the major isoprenologue, as did *Gordona bronchialis* N654^T. The corresponding components extracted from *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* N54^T and *Tsukamurella paurometabola* JC^T were MK-8 [H₂] and MK-9, respectively.

Numerical classification

Test error and final database: Experimental test error was estimated from data collected from the three duplicated cultures (Table 1). The average probability (P)of an erroneous test result, calculated from the pooled variance $(S_i^2 = 0.03)$ of the unit characters, was 3.92%. Fourteen tests were deleted from the raw data matrix as they showed little, if any, separation value. All of the strains grew at 15°C and 20°C, were resistant to capreomycin sulphate $(8 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1})$ and novobiocin $(2 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1})$ and used octanoic acid (1.0%, w/v) as a source of carbon for energy and growth. In contrast, none of the organisms grew in the presence of demeclocyline $(32 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1})$ or doxycycline $(100 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1})$, neither did they use butan-2,3-diol (1.0%, v/v), coumarin (1.0%, v/v), malonic acid (Na salt; 1.0%, w/v), oxalic acid (1.0%, w/v), propan-1,2-diol (1.0%, v/v), propan-1-ol (1.0%, v/v), propan-2-ol (1.0%, v/v) as sole carbon sources.

The final database contained information on 56 strains, excluding the duplicated strains, and 165 unit characters.

Clustering of strains: The classification based on the S_{SM} , UPGMA analysis was examined in detail as it gave the most compact clusters together with the relatively high cophenetic correlation value of 0.765. The *Gordona* isolates

were assigned to five multi-membered and 28 single-membered clusters defined at the 89% similarity level (Figure 1). The remaining multi-membered taxon, cluster 6, encompassed the type strains of *Gordona amarae* and *Gordona hydrophobica*. The remaining type strains of validly described *Gordona* species formed single-membered clusters. The majority of the *Gordona* isolates were sharply separated from the type strains. Clusters 1 to 4 contained organisms isolated from the Stoke Bardolph plant and cluster 5 strains from the Wanlip site. The same clusters were recognised in the S_J, UPGMA analysis albeit at a lower similarity level.

Characterisation of taxa: The properties of the multimembered clusters and the type strains of six validly described *Gordona* species are given in Table 4.

Curie-point pyrolysis mass spectrometry

Excellent agreement was found between the results of the triplicate analyses of each strain (data not shown), that is, the members of each triplicate set were either superimposed or occupied adjacent positions in the three-dimensional ordination plot. Similarly, the duplicated cultures were clustered together as anticipated. Once the outlying strains had been removed from the dataset and the remaining data reanalysed to determine the finer taxonomical detail it was clear that the balance of the strains formed two broad groups (Figure 2). One group encompassed the type strains of the validly described Gordona species, two Milcote and four Wanlip isolates. The second group contained all of the Stoke Bardolph isolates and the remaining Milcote and Wanlip strains. When the PyMS data were re-examined, after the deletion of the results from the type strains, groups of Gordona isolates corresponding to the multi-membered clusters recovered in the numerical taxonomic study were detected. The cluster 3 isolates formed a particularly tight group and the remaining Wanlip isolate WAO31, was closely associated with the strains assigned to cluster 2.

Discussion

Surprisingly few taxonomic studies have been carried out on mycolic acid-containing actinomycetes which cause or are associated with foaming in activated-sludge sewagetreatment plants. Actinomycetes isolated from activated sludge foams have usually been characterised using a few phenotypic properties with little attempt made to determine





Figure 1 Abridged dendrogram showing relationships between clusters defined at the 89% similarity level in the S_{SM} , UPGMA analysis. ^TDenotes type strains.

Actinomycete diversity in activated sludge M Goodfellow *et al*

274

Table 4 Distribution of positive characters to Gordona clusters defined at the 89% similarity level in the S_{SM}, UPGMA analysis*

Unit character									Clu	sters		
						-	1	2	3	4	5	6
	G.aichiensis	G.bronchialis	G.obuensis	G.rubropertincta	G.sputi	G.terrae	Gordona sp	Gordona sp	Gordona sp	Gordona sp	Gordona sp	G.amarae G.hydrophobica
Number of strains/strain number	N934 ^T	N654 ^T	N935 ^t	N4 ^T	N930 ^t	N659 ^t	3	7	5	2	3	2
(a) BIOCHEMICAL TESTS								- ·				
Allantoinase production	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	3	2
Nitrate reduction	1	0	1	0	1	0	$\hat{0}$	0	$\tilde{0}$	0	3	0
(b) DEGRADATION TESTS (%,w/v)		0	1	Ū		v	U	0	Ū	Ū	5	Ű
DNA (0.2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Starch (1.0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Tributyrin (0.1)	1	1	1	1	0	1	3	7	5	2	3	2
 (c) NUTRITIONAL TESTS (i) Sole carbon sources at 1.0%, w/v or v/v Monosaccharides: 												
L-Rhamnose	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	3	2
D(+) Turanose	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	7	2	2	3	2
Hexoses:							0	0	0	0	2	2
D(+) Galactose	0	1	1	1	· 1		0	0	0	0	3	2
D(+) Xylose Pentoses:	0	1	1	I	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	U
D(-) Arabinose	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
D(+) Arabinose	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
L(-) Arabinose	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	3	0
Disaccharides:	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
D(+) Lactose	0	1	1	1	1	1	<i>3</i> 0	6	1	2	3	2
D(+) Menolose D(+) Trehalose	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	5	$\frac{2}{2}$	3	$\tilde{0}$
Polysaccharides:	ġ.	-		,	-	-						
Glycoside:									_			
Salicin	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Polyglucosides:	Ω	1	0	Δ	Ω	Ο	1	0	Ω	0	Δ	Ω
Indin	0	1 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trisaccharide:	v		Ŭ	v	0	0	Ŭ	÷	•	-	-	-
D(+) Melezitose	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	· 0	1	0	2
Sugar alcohols:												
Hexitols:	1	1	1	1	1	1	Ω	3	1	1	1	2
D-Maniftor D-Sorbitol	1 0	0	0	0	0	, 0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Tetritols and pentitols:	v	v	0	0	v	•	-	5	÷	~	-	-
Adonitol	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
D(+) Arabitol	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Xylitol	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
(u) Sole carbon sources at 0.1%, w/v or v/v												
Dihydric:												
Butane-1,4-diol	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Polyhydric:	_		-				2	-	•	2	2	2
Glycerol	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	7	0	2	3	2
Alipnatic amino acid:	0	1	0	Ω	Ο	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Aromatic/heterocyclic acids:	v	1	0	U	0	0	0	v		0	0	-
Benzoic acid (Na salt)	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1
m-Hydroxybenzoic acid	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
p-Hydroxybenzoic acid	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	7	0	2	0	2

Table 4 Continued

Unit character							Clusters						
							1	2	3	4	5	6	
	G.aichiensis	G.bronchialis	G.obuensis	G.rubropertincta	G.sputi	G.terrae	Gordona sp	Gordona sp	Gordona sp	Gordona sp	Gordona sp	G.amarae G.hydrophobica	
Number of strains/strain number	N934 ^t	N654 ^T	N935 ^t	N4 ^T	N930 ^t	N659 ^T	3	7	5	2	3	2	
Carboxylic acid:										_		_	
Valeric acid	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Dicarboxylic acids:	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	
Fumaric acid (Na salt)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	5	2	3	$\frac{2}{2}$	
Malonic acid (Na salt)	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	ó	õ	$\tilde{0}$	Ő	$\frac{1}{2}$	
Pimelic acid	1	Õ	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Sebacic acid	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Suberic acid	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	2	
Succinic acid (Na salt)	1	1	1	1	1	0	3	7	0	2	3	2	
Hydroxy acids:													
Aupnauc: Citric acid (Na salt)	0	1	1	. 1	0	1	1	7	5	2	3	2	
Lactic acid (Na salt)	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	7	0	$\frac{2}{2}$	0	$\frac{2}{2}$	
Malic acid (Na salt)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ŏ	ĩ	1	$\tilde{2}$	
Miscellaneous nitrogenous compound	_				_								
Betaine	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Sterol:													
Testosterone	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	0	2	3	0	
(d) TOLERANCE TESTS													
(I) Growin at:	1	0	0	0	0	1	Ο	Ω	0	0	Ο	0	
4 C 37°C	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	4	1	ő	2	
55°C	Ő	Ô	Ô	Ô	Ô	Ô	1	õ	0 0	Ô	ŏ	õ	
(ii) Growth at:													
pH 4.5	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	
pH 5.0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	2	
pH 5.5	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	2	3	2	
pH 10.0	1	0	1	1	1	1	3	6	5	2	1	2	
(III) Kesistance to													
Aminoglycosides and aminocyclitols:													
Amikacin (5)	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	
Bekanamycin sulphate (32)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	
Gentamycin sulphate (4)	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	3	2	
Gentamycin sulphate (8)	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	
Gentamycin sulphate (16)	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	
Lividomycin sulphate (10)	1	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	
Neomycin sulphate (4)	1	1	1	1	1	1	õ	1	3	2	3	$\frac{2}{2}$	
Neomycin sulphate (8)	1	1	ò	î	î	1	ŏ	ô	Õ	1	Ő	$\overline{2}$	
Paromomycin sulphate (32)	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Streptomycin sulphate (4)	1	1	1	0	1	1	2	7	5	2	3	2	
Tobramycin sulphate (4)	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	
Tobramycin sulphate (8)	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	
Antifungal agents:	~	0	0	~	~	0	C		~	~	~	C	
Fusidic acid (Na salt) (100) 5 Eluorouracil (20)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
5-Fluorouracil (40)	1	1	1	1	1	1	5 1	1	0	$\frac{2}{2}$. 3	2	
	1					1							

Tetracyclines:

Tetracycline (10)

Tetracycline (20)

Miscellaneous: Chloramphenicol (8) Chloramphenicol (32)

Tyrothricin (32)

Chlortetracycline hydrochloride (4)

Chlortetracycline hydrochloride (8)

Methacycline hydrochloride (8) Tetracycline (5)

Actinomycete diversity in activated sludge M Goodfellow et al

Unit character Clusters Unit character I	Table 4 Continued												
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Unit character									Clu	sters		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							-	1	2	3	4	5	6
Number of strains/strain N934 ^T N634 ^T N935 ^T N4 ^T N930 ^T N659 ^T 3 7 5 2 3 2 Antitubercular drugs: Ethambutol (10) 1 1 1 0 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Ethambutol (10) 0 1 1 0 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Isonizadi (10) 1 0 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Isonizadi (100) 1 0 1 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Isonizadi (200) 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 2 3 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 2		G.aichiensis	G.bronchialis	G.obuensis	G.rubropertincta	G.sputi	G.terrae	Gordona sp	Gordona sp	Gordona sp	Gordona sp	Gordona sp	G.amarae G.hydrophobica
Antitubercular drugs: Ethambutol (5) 1 1 1 0 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Ethambutol (10) 1 0 1 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Isonizzid (10) 1 0 1 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Isonizzid (20) 1 0 1 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Isonizzid (200) 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 2 Separatid (200) 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 Cephaloridin (X0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 2 Gephaloridin (X0 1 1 1	Number of strains/strain number	N934 ^T	N654 ^T	N935 ^t	N4 ^T	N930 ^T	N659 ^T	3	7	5	2	3	2
Ethambutol (5) 1 1 1 0 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Ethambutol (10) 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Isoniazid (40) 1 0 1 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Isoniazid (40) 1 0 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Isoniazid (200) 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Cephalordine (100) 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 Cephalordine (32) 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 Cephalordine (32) 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0<	Antitubercular drugs:												
Ethambuol (10) 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Isoniazid (10) 1 0 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Isoniazid (200) 1 0 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Cephaloportins:	Ethambutol (5)	1	1	1	0	1	1	3	7	5	2	3	2
Isoniazid (10) 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Isoniazid (40) 1 0 1 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Isoniazid (160) 1 0 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Cephaloporins: C C 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 Cephaloridine (100) 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 Cephalopoptides and peptides: E E E E E 2 3 2 Vancomycin (2) 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 1	Ethambutol (10)	0	1	1	0	1	1	3	7	5	2	3	2
Isoniazid (40) 1 0 1 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Isoniazid (160) 1 0 1 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Sinizid (200) 1 0 1 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Cephadio (200) 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 Cephadiori (100) 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 2 3 2 Cephadiri (100) 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 Cephadiri (Na salt) (32) 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 Vancomycin (2) 1 1 0 1 1 <	Isoniazid (10)	1	0	1	1	1	1	3	7	5	2	3	2
Isoniazid (160) 1 0 1 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Isoniazid (200) 1 0 1 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Cephalosporins:	Isoniazid (40)	1	0	1	1	1	1	3	7	5	2	3	2
Isonizzid (200) 1 0 1 1 1 3 7 5 2 3 2 Cephalosporins: C 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 Cephadine (100) 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 Cephadine (100) 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 3 2 Cephadine (32) 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 3 2 Vancomycin (2) 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 Vancomycin (4) Cythomycin (6) 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2	Isoniazid (160)	1	0	1	1	1	1	3	7	5	2	3	2
Cephalosporins:Cefoxitin (Na salt) (16)11111100032Cephalori (32)111111010232Cephardine (32)1-100100000232Cephardine (32)1-1001100000232Cephardine (32)10011100000232Vancomycin (2)1110110000022Vancomycin (4)0110110000022Vancomycin (12)0100110000022Vancomycin (8)Frythromycin (12)01000000222022Vancomycin (10)1111111375202222222222222222222222222222222222	Isoniazid (200)	1	0	1	1	1	1	3	7	5	2	3	2
Cerositin (Na sati) (16) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 </td <td>Cephalosporins:</td> <td></td>	Cephalosporins:												
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Cephaloridine (100)} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0$	Cefoxitin (Na salt) (16)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	2
$\begin{array}{c} Cephradine (32) & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 2 \\ Cephradine (32) & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0$	Cephaloridine (100)	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Cephapirin (Na salt) (32)11001100032Glycopetides and peptides:Bacitracin (32)100111010000232Vancomycin (2)111001100000022Vancomycin (16)0110011000002Vancomycin (2) + Erythromycin (6)0100011000002Vancomycin (8) + Erythromycin (24)0100011000002Vancomycin (10)1111111375202Vancomycin (16)1111111375202Vancomycin (16)111111375202PeriodIllins:	Cephradine (32)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	3	2
Giveopertides and peptides:Bacitracin (32) 100111010232Vancomycin (2) 11101100002Vancomycin (2) 011011000002Vancomycin (2) + Erythromycin (6) 010011000002Vancomycin (2) + Erythromycin (12) 010011000002Vancomycin (4) + Erythromycin (12) 010001000002Vancomycin (8) + Erythromycin (12) 010000000022Vancomycin (16) 1111113752022Oleandomycin phosphate (32) 111111012132Ampicillin (10) 110110100000232Carbencillin (16) 11111111113652322Penicillin (16) 1111111	Cephapirin (Na salt) (32)	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	2
Bacitracin (32) 100111010232Vancomycin (2) 111011000002Vancomycin (2) 011011000002Vancomycin (16) 010011000002Vancomycin $(2) + Erythromycin (6)$ 010011000002Vancomycin $(8) + Erythromycin (24)$ 01000000022Vancomycin (5) 1111113752022Oleandomycin phosphate (32) 1111113752022Speramycin (16) 011011010232Oleandomycin phosphate (32) 111111010232Speramycin (16) 11110100000232Ampicillin (500) 111011010000232Carbenicillin (8) 111<	Glycopeptides and peptides:												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Bacitracin (32)	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	3	2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Vancomycin (2)	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Vancomycin (4)	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Vancomycin (16)	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Vancomycin (2) + Erythromycin (6)	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Vancomycin (8) + Erythromycin (24) 0100010000002Macrolides:Erythromycin (5) 111111375202Deradomycin phosphate (32) 111111375202Speramycin (16) 01111111010232Penicillins:NN	Vancomycin (4) + Erythromycin (12)	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Macrolides: I <th< td=""><td>Vancomycin (8) + Erythromycin (24)</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>2</td></th<>	Vancomycin (8) + Erythromycin (24)	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Erythromycin (5)1111111375202Erythromycin (10)11111111375202Oleandomycin phosphate (32)1111111375202Speramycin (16)01111111010232PenicillinsNoxicillin (500)111011000132Ampicillin (20)11001100000232Carbenicillin (Na salt) (16)11111100000232Methicillin (32)1111110000232Penicillin G (32)111111000012Rifampicins: C	Macrolides:												
Erythromycin (10)1111111375202Oleandomycin phosphate (32)1111111375202Speramycin (16)01111111010232Penicillins:Amoxicillin (500)111011012132Ampicillin (10)1100110000232Ampicillin (20)1100110000232Carbenicillin (Na salt) (16)1111110000232Methicillin (32)1111110000232Penicillin G (16)1100110132Rifampicins:111111000012Carbonycin (32)0100000000232Rifampicin (4)111010000002Rifampicin (8)01000<	Erythromycin (5)	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	7	5	2	0	2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Erythromycin (10)	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	7	5	2	0	2
Speramycin (16) 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 2 3 2 Penicillins: Amoxicilin (500) 1 1 1 1 0 1 2 1 3 2 Ampicillin (500) 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 3 2 Ampicillin (20) 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 Carbenicillin (Na salt) (16) 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 Methicillin (32) 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 2 3 2 Penicillin G (16) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 6 5 2 3 2 Penicillin G (32) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 2 <td>Oleandomycin phosphate (32)</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td> <td>7</td> <td>5</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td>	Oleandomycin phosphate (32)	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	7	5	2	0	2
Amoxicillin (500) 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 3 2 Ampicillin (10) 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 3 2 Ampicillin (20) 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 Carbenicillin (Na salt) (16) 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 2 3 2 Carbenicillin (Na salt) (32) 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 2 3 2 Methicillin (32) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 6 5 2 3 2 Penicillin G (16) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 2 2 Ticareillin (16) 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 2 3 <td>Speramycin (16) Penicillins:</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>2</td>	Speramycin (16) Penicillins:	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	3	2
Ampicillin (30)111011001100Ampicillin (10)11001100002Ampicillin (20)11001100002Carbenicillin (Na salt) (32)111111000232Methicillin (32)1111111000232Penicillin G (16)11100111365232Penicillin (16)111001113232Rifampicins: 1 111110001232Rifampicin (2)0100011000232Rifampicin (8)011010000232	Amoxicillin (500)	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	1	3	2
Ampoint (10)110011000 <t< td=""><td>Ampicillin (10)</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>Ô</td><td>ŏ</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>ŏ</td><td>Ô</td><td>õ</td><td>1</td><td>3</td><td>2</td></t<>	Ampicillin (10)	1	1	Ô	ŏ	1	1	ŏ	Ô	õ	1	3	2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ampicillin (20)	î	1	Õ	ŏ	1	1	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Ô	õ	2
$\begin{array}{c cc} Carbonicillin (Na salt) (32) \\ Methicillin (32) \\ Penicillin (32) \\ Penicillin G (16) \\ Penicillin G (16) \\ Penicillin G (32) \\ Ticarcillin (16) \\ I \\ $	Carbenicillin (Na salt) (16)	1	1	1	ĭ	1	1	õ	1	ŏ	2	3	$\overline{2}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Carbenicillin (Na salt) (32)	1	1	1	î	1	1	ŏ	Ô	ő	$\tilde{2}$	ž	2
Penicillin G (16) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 2 Penicillin G (32) 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 2 Ticarcillin (16) 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 2 Rifampicins: 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 2 3 2 Rifampicin (2) 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 Rifampicin (4) 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 2 Rifampicin (8) 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 2	Methicillin (32)	1	1	1	1	1	1	š	6	5	$\tilde{2}$	3	2
Penicillin G (32)11001100012Ticarcillin (16)1110000012Rifampicins:Carbonycin (32)Carbonycin (32)010001100002Rifampicin (2)111011000002Rifampicin (4)111010000002Rifampicin (8)01101000002	Penicillin G (16)	1	î	ò	Ō	î	1	1	ĩ	õ	ĩ	3	2
Ticarcillin (16) 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 2 3 2 Rifampicins: 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 2 3 2 Rifampicins: 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 2 3 2 Rifampicin (2) 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 Rifampicin (4) 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 Rifampicin (8) 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2	Penicillin G (32)	1	1	ŏ	ŏ	1	1	ò	Ô	ŏ	ò	1	$\overline{2}$
Rifampicins: 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 <t< td=""><td>Ticarcillin (16)</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>î</td><td>1</td><td>ŏ</td><td>ŏ</td><td>õ</td><td>$\tilde{2}$</td><td>3</td><td>2</td></t<>	Ticarcillin (16)	1	1	1	1	î	1	ŏ	ŏ	õ	$\tilde{2}$	3	2
Carbomycin (32) 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2 Rifampicin (2) 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 2 Rifampicin (4) 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 2 Rifampicin (8) 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2	Rifampicins:	-		^	-	-	Ŷ			~	-	-	-
Rifampicin (2)1101000002Rifampicin (4)11101000002Rifampicin (8)01101000002	Carbomycin (32)	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Rifampicin (4)1101000002Rifampicin (8)01101000002	Rifampicin (2)	ĩ	1	1	ŏ	ĩ	1	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	õ	2
Rifampicin (8) 0 1 0 1 0 2 2 3 3 4 3 4 5 6	Rifampicin (4)	1	î	1	ŏ	î	Ô	õ	ŏ	ŏ	õ	õ	$\overline{\overline{2}}$
	Rifampicin (8)	0	ĩ	ĩ	Õ	1	Õ	Õ	Ō	Ō	Ō	Ō	2

 $\mathbf{0}$

0

Table 4 Continued							·····						
Unit character							_						
								1	2	3	4	5	6
		G.aichiensis	G.bronchialis	G.obuensis	G.rubropertincta	G.sputi	G.terrae	Gordona sp	G.amarae G.hydrophobica				
	Number of strains/strain number	N934 [™]	N654 ^T	N935 ^T	N4 ^T	N930 ^т	N659 ^T	3	7	5	2	3	2
(iv) Growth in the presence of che inhibitors (% w/y or y/y)	emical												
Malachite green (0.001)		1	1	1	1	1	1	3	0	3	1	1	2
Phenol (0.1)		1	0	1	1	1	1	3	7	5	2	3	2
Phenolphthalein diphosphate (0.001)	1	0	1	1	1	- 1	3	7	5	2	3	2
Tetrazolium salt (0.01)		1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	2	3	2
Tetrazolium salt (0.05)		1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Thallium acetate (0.01)		0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Toluidine blue (0.005)		1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	0	2	3	2
(v) Growth in the presence of met	al salts (%												
w/v or v/v)													
Cobalt chloride (0.005)		1	1	1	1	1	1	3	0	1	2	3	2
Cobalt chloride (0.01)		1	1	1	1	1	1	3	0	0	2	3	2
Ferrous sulphate (0.05)		1	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	2	3	2
Ferrous sulphate (0.1)		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	2
Sodium chloride (5.0)		1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	0	0	2
Sodium chloride (7.0)		0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Sodium selenite (0.05)		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	1	2	0	2
Zinc chloride (0.01)		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	3	2
Zinc sulphate (0.05)		1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	3	2

*Properties of isolates forming single-membered clusters are omitted.

All of the strains were positive for the following characters: catalase; D(-) fructose (1.0%, w/v), D(+) glucose (1.0%, w/v), D(+) mannose (1.0%, w/v), sucrose (1.0%, w/v), acetic acid (Na salt; 0.1%, w/v), butan-1-ol (0.1%, v/v), butyric acid (Na salt; 0.1%, w/v), pyruvic acid (Na salt; 0.1%, w/v) as sole carbon sources; growth at pH 6.0; resistance to amikacin (1 µg ml⁻¹), nalidixic acid (32 µg ml⁻¹), novobiocin (Na salt; 4 µg ml⁻¹), oleandomycin phosphate (1 and 16 µg ml⁻¹), polymixin B sulphate (32 µg ml⁻¹) and streptomycin sulphate (2 µg ml⁻¹), and growth in the presence of bismuth citrate (0.01%, v/w), cobalt chloride (0.005%, w/v), cupric chloride (0.01%, w/v), oleic acid (0.1%, w/v), sodium chloride (10.0%, w/v), sodium selenite (0.1%, w/v), teepol (0.01%, v/v) and zinc chloride (0.005%, w/v).

All of the strains were negative for the following characters: gelatin hydrolysis (4.0%, w/v), nitrite reduction, xanthine degradation (0.4%, w/v); butane-1,3-diol (0.1%, v/v), D-mandelic acid (0.1%, w/v) sorbitol (1.0%, w/v), tartaric acid (Na salt; 0.1%, w/v) and vanillic acid (0.1%, w/v) as sole carbon sources; growth at 42°C and resistance to chloramphenicol (64 μ g ml⁻¹), chlortetracycline hydrochloride (16 μ g ml⁻¹), methacycline hydrochloride (32 μ g ml⁻¹) and oxytetracycline hydrochloride (32 and 64 μ g ml⁻¹).

the extent of taxonomic diversity found amongst the isolated mycolic acid-containing microflora [6,12,37,52,56]. In the present investigation, actinomycetes isolated from foams and scums taken from three activated-sludge sewagetreatment plants were presumptively identified as members of the genera *Gordona*, *Rhodococcus* and *Tsukamurella* on the basis of colony morphology and pigmentation. Representatives of the *Gordona* strains were found to contain mycolic acids which co-chromatographed with those from the type strain of *Gordona bronchialis* and predominant amounts of dihydrogenated menaquinones with nine isoprene units. This combination of properties is consistent with the organisms being assigned to the genus *Gordona* [31].

Large numbers of gordonae were isolated from foam and scum samples taken from the three activated-sludge sewage-treatment plants. A correlation was observed between the extent of foaming and the numbers of gordonae. The highest count, $0.14 \times 10^8 \pm 1.81$ ml⁻¹ foam, was recorded for a sample collected in July at Stoke Bardolph (data not shown), when foaming was most extensive, and when gordonae accounted for 40% of the mean total viable count. Relatively large numbers of presumptive rhodococci were found in foam samples taken from Stoke Badolph in April and July whereas tsukamurellae were only detected in small numbers in foam samples collected from the Stoke Bardolph plant in July. Nocardiae were not isolated from any of the Stoke Bardolph samples. The failure to isolate significant numbers of actinomycetes from the inlet samples taken at the Milcote and Wanlip sites suggests that mycolic acid-containing actinomycetes form part of the resident flora of activated sludge.

The numerical taxonomic groupings of the representative gordonae were only marginally affected by the statistics used or by the test error (P) of 3.92%. Experimental test error of this nature is comparable to that found in previous



Figure 2 Three-dimensional representation of relationships between 39 isolates from foam and the *Gordona* type strains based on the first three canonical variates of the principal component-canonical variate analysis. The first three axes account for 90.90%, 3.59% and 1.81% of the total variation between strains, respectively.

numerical phenetic surveys involving gordonae [20,22,24] and is well within the 10% guideline recommended by Sneath and Johnson [58]. It can, therefore, be concluded that the failure of the isolates to show high overall similarities with the representatives of the validly described species of *Gordona* is taxonomically meaningful. It is also evident that the *Gordona* isolates form a diverse group of organisms with some strains only associated with a particular plant. However, further comparative taxonomic studies, involving nucleic acid relatedness and sequencing analyses, are needed to establish whether the multi- and single-membered clusters composed of *Gordona* isolates merit species recognition.

Clusters defined in numerical taxonomic surveys are 'operator unbiased' representations of natural relationships between strains though group composition may be influenced by the choice of strains and tests, experimental procedures, test error and statistics [51]. It is, therefore, essential to evaluate the taxonomic integrity of clusters by examining representative strains using independent taxonomic criteria derived from the application of chemotaxonomic and/or molecular systematic techniques. Curie-point pyrolysis mass spectrometry provides a rapid and effective way of evaluating relationships established in numerical taxonomic studies [25].

In the present study good congruence was found between

the classifications derived from the application of the numerical taxonomic and PyMS methods. In particular, the PyMS data underpin the separation between the gordonae isolated from the foam and scum samples and the type strains of the validly described species of *Gordona*. They also help to confirm the heterogeneity found amongst the *Gordona* isolates, especially their assignment to multimembered clusters. It is also interesting, though surprising, that the type strains of *Gordona amarae* and *Gordona hydrophobica* were closely related in each of the analyses; these strains have been distinguished on the basis of 16S rRNA sequence data [4].

The results of the present investigation provide further evidence that some members of the genus *Gordona* cause or are associated with foaming in activated-sludge sewagetreatment plants [5,7,12,36,52]. It is also clear from the chemical and numerical data that the gordonae isolated from the foam and scum samples taken from Milcote, Stoke Bardolph and Wanlip plants form several new centres of taxonomic variation. An improved understanding of the taxonomy, and subsequently the biology, of these and related mycolic acid-containing actinomycetes in activated sludge will help to promote effective strategies for controlling their growth in activated-sludge sewage-treatment plants.

The large discrepancies found between the viable plate and total direct bacterial counts provide a further reminder that culture-based techniques can grossly underestimate bacterial diversity in complex microbial communities [1,66]. Unexpectedly high levels of microbial diversity have been detected in several natural microbial communities by comparative 16S rRNA sequence analysis [16,43,45]. The great advantage of rRNA analysis and rRNA-based hybridisation probes is that microorganisms present in natural communities can be detected and characterised without prior culture. These molecular methods were used by Schuppler et al [54] who discovered several novel gordonae, mycobacteria and rhodococci in sludge taken from a normally functioning municipal wastewater treatment plant. In parallel experiments they demonstrated that cultured isolates only represented a small fraction of the organisms present in the activated sludge.

The results of the present study provide further evidence that there is immense microbial diversity in activated sludge [54,65,66] and thereby cast doubt on the view that foaming can be attributed to members of one or a few species [5,6,7,12,30,40,52,62]. It seems more likely that foaming is caused by a diverse array of mycolic acid-containing actinomycetes some which may be site specific. It is also clear that little meaningful ecological work can be carried out until the taxonomy of the foaming organisms has been determined. Further extensive studies are required to unravel the full extent of the taxonomic variation encompassed by mycolic acid-containing actinomycetes associated with or causing foaming in activated-sludge sewagetreatment plants. Such studies should employ a twopronged strategy, one approach making use of molecular ecological methods and the other based upon selective isolation and rapid characterisation procedures.

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to Severn Trent Water Ltd for financial support and are particularly grateful to Dr John Upton and Ms Elaine Hayes for many helpful discussions.

References

- 1 Amann RI, W Ludwig and KH Schleifer. 1995. Phylogenetic identification and *in situ* detection of individual microbial cells without cultivation. Microbiol Rev 59: 143–149.
- 2 Anonymous. 1969. Milwaukee mystery: unusual operating problem develops. Water Sewage Works 116: 213.
- 3 Bendinger B, HHM Rijnaarts, K Altendorf and AJB Zehnder. 1993. Physico-chemical cell surface adhesive properties of coryneform bacteria related to the presence and chain length of mycolic acids. Appl Environ Microbiol 59: 3973–3977.
- 4 Bendinger B, FA Rainey, RM Kroppenstedt, M Moormann and S Klatte. 1995. *Gordona hydrophobica* sp nov, isolated from biofilters for water gas treatment. Int J Syst Bacteriol 45: 544–548.
- 5 Blackall LL, AE Harbers, PF Greenfield and AC Hayward. 1988. Actinomycete scum problems in Australian activated sludge plants. Water Sci Tech 20: 493–495.
- 6 Blackall LL, JH Parlett, AC Hayward, DE Minnikin, PF Greenfield and A Harbers. 1989. Nocardia pinensis sp nov, an actinomycete found in activated sludge foams in Australia. J Gen Microbiol 135: 1547–1558.
- 7 Blackall LL, AE Harbers, PF Greenfield and AC Hayward. 1991. Activated sludge foams: effects of environmental variables on organism growth and foam formation. Env Tech 12: 241–248.
- 8 Blackall LL, SC Barker and P Hugenholtz. 1994. Phylogenetic analysis and taxonomic history of *Nocardia pinensis* and *Nocardia amarae*. Syst Appl Microbiol 17: 519–525.
- 9 Blackall LL, EM Seviour, MA Cunningham, RJ Seviour and P Hugenholtz. 1994. '*Microthrix parvicella*' is a novel, deep branching member of the actinomycete subphylum. Syst Appl Microbiol 17: 513–518.
- 10 Chun J and M Goodfellow. 1995. A phylogenetic analysis of the genus Nocardia with 16S rRNA gene sequences. Int J Syst Bacteriol 45: 240–245.
- 11 Chun J, E Atalan, AC Ward and M Goodfellow. 1993. Artificial neural network analysis of pyrolysis mass spectrometric data in the identification of *Streptomyces* strains. FEMS Microbiol Lett 107: 321–326.
- 12 Dhaliwal BS. 1979. *Nocardia amarae* and activated sludge foaming. J Water Polln Control Fedn 51: 344–350.
- 13 Eikelboom DH. 1975. Filamentous organisms in activated sludge. Water Res 9: 365–388.
- 14 Eikelboom DH. 1994. The Microthrix parvicella puzzle. Water Sci Technol 29: 271–279.
- 15 Eshuis W, PG Kistemacher and HLC Meuzelaar. 1977. Some numerical aspects of reproducibility and specificity. In: Analytical Pyrolysis (Jones CER and CA Cramers, eds), pp 151–166, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 16 Fuhrmann JA, JAK McCallum and AA Davis. 1992. Novel major archaebacterial group from marine plankton. Nature 356: 148–149.
- 17 Goodfellow M. 1971. Numerical taxonomy of some nocardioform bacteria. J Gen Microbiol 69: 33–80.
- 18 Goodfellow M. 1992. The family *Nocardiaceae*. In: The Prokaryotes, 2nd edn (Balows A, HG Trüper, M Dworkin, W Harder and KH Schleifer, eds), pp 1188–1213, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- 19 Goodfellow M. 1995. Inter-strain comparison of pathogenic microorganisms by pyrolysis mass spectrometry. Binary 7: 54-59.
- 20 Goodfellow M and G Alderson. 1977. The actinomycete-genus *Rhodo-coccus*: a home for the '*rhodochrous*' complex. J Gen Microbiol 100: 99–122.
- 21 Goodfellow M, AR Beckham and MD Barton. 1982. Numerical classification of *Rhodococcus equi* and related actinomycetes. J Appl Bacteriol 53: 199–207.
- 22 Goodfellow M, CR Weaver and DE Minnikin. 1982. Numerical classification of some rhodococci, corynebacteria and related organisms. J Gen Microbiol 128: 731–745.
- 23 Goodfellow M, LJ Stanton, KE Simpson and DE Minnikin. 1990. Numerical and chemical classification of *Actinoplanes* and some related actinomycetes. J Gen Microbiol 136: 19–36.
- 24 Goodfellow M, J Zakrzewska-Czerwinska, EG Thomas, M Mordarski,

AC Ward and AL James. 1991. Polyphasic taxonomic study of the genera *Gordona* and *Tsukamurella* including the description of *Tsukamurella wratislaviensis* sp nov. Zbl Bakteriol 275: 162–178.

- 25 Goodfellow M, J Chun, E Atalan and JJ Sanglier. 1994. Curie point pyrolysis mass spectrometry and its application to bacterial systematics. In: Bacterial Diversity and Systematics (Priest FG, A Ramos-Cormenzana and BJ Tindall, eds), pp 87–104, Plenum Press, New York.
- 26 Goodfellow M, J Chun, S Stubbs and AS Toboli. 1994. Transfer of Nocardia amarae Lechevalier and Lechevalier 1974 to the genus Gordona as Gordona amarae comb nov. Lett Appl Microbiol 19: 401–405.
- 27 Gordon RE. 1967. The taxonomy of soil bacteria. In: The Ecology of Soil Bacteria (Gray TRG and D Parkinson, eds), pp 293–321, Liverpool University Press, Liverpool.
- 28 Gordon RE and JE Mihm. 1962. Identification of *Nocardia caviae* (Erikson) comb nov. Ann New York Acad Sci 98: 628–636.
- 29 Higgins DG and MP Lechevalier. 1969. Poorly lytic bacteriophage from *Dactylosporangium thailandensis*. J Virol 3: 210–216.
- 30 Hiraoka M and K Tsumura. 1984. Suppression of actinomycete scum production: a case study at Senboku wastewater treatment plant. Water Sci Technol 16: 83–90.
- 31 Holt JG, NR Krieg, PHA Sneath, JT Staley and ST Williams (eds). 1994. Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, 9th edn. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore.
- 32 Huff SM, HLC Meuzelaar, DL Pope and CR Kjeldsberg. 1981. Characterisation of leukemic and normal white blood cells by Curie point pyrolysis mass spectrometry. I. Numerical evaluation of the results of a pilot study. J Anal Appl Pyrol 3: 95–110.
- 33 Jenkins D, MG Richard and GT Daigger. 1993. Manual on the Causes and Control of Activated Sludge Bulking and Foaming, 2nd edn. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, USA.
- 34 Kepner RI and JR Pratt. 1994. Use of fluorochromes for direct enumeration of total bacteria in environmental samples: past and present. Microbiol Rev 58: 603–615.
- 35 Klatte S, FA Rainey and RM Kroppenstedt. 1994. Transfer of *Rhodo-coccus aichiensis* Tsukamura 1982 and *Nocardia amarae* Lechevalier and Lechevalier 1972 to the genus *Gordona* as *Gordona aichiensis* comb nov and *Gordona amarae* comb nov. Int J Syst Bacteriol 44: 769–773.
- 36 Lechevalier HA, MP Lechevalier, PE Wyszkowski and F Mariat. 1976. Actinomycetes found in sewage-treatment plants of the activated sludge type. In: Actinomycetes: The Boundary Organisms (Arai T, ed), pp 227–247, Toppan Company, Tokyo.
- 37 Lemmer H and RM Kroppenstedt. 1984. Chemotaxonomy and physiology of some actinomycetes isolated from scumming activated sludge. Syst Appl Microbiol 5: 124–135.
- 38 Minnikin DE, IG Hutchinson, AB Caldicott and M Goodfellow. 1980. Thin-layer chromatography of methanolysates of mycolic acid-containin bacteria. J Chromat 188: 221–233.
- 39 Minnikin DE, AG O'Donnell, M Goodfellow, G Alderson, M Athalye, A Schaal and JH Parlett. 1984. An integrated procedure for the extraction of bacterial isoprenoid quinones and polar lipids. J Microbiol Meth 2: 233–241.
- 40 Mori T, Y Sakai, K Hondo, I Yano and S Hashimoto. 1988. Stable abnormal foam in the activated sludge process produced by *Rhodococcus* with strong hydrophobic properties. Environ Tech Lett 9: 1041–1048.
- 41 Nelder JC. 1979. GENSTAT Reference Manual. Scientific and Social Service Program Library, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh.
- 42 Nesterenko OA, SA Kasumova and SI Kvasnikov. 1978. Microorganisms of the genus *Nocardia* and the '*rhodochrous*' group in the soils of the Ukranian SSR, USSR. Microbiology 47: 699–703.
- 43 Olsen GJ, DJ Lane, SJ Giovannoni, NR Pace and DA Stahl. 1986. Microbial ecology and evolution: a ribosomal RNA approach. Ann Rev Microbiol 40: 337–365.
- 44 Orchard VA and M Goodfellow. 1974. The selective isolation of *Nocardia* from soil using antibiotics. J Gen Microbiol 85: 160–162.
- 45 Pace NR, DA Stahl, DJ Lane and GJ Olsen. 1986. The analysis of natural microbial populations by ribosomal RNA sequences. Adv Microbiol Ecol 9: 1–55.
- 46 Rainey FA, J Burghardt, RM Kroppenstedt, S Klatte and E Stackebrandt. 1995. Phylogenetic analysis of the genera *Rhodococcus* and *Nocardia* and evidence for the evolutionary origin of the genus *Nocar*-

- Actinomycete diversity in activated sludge M Goodfellow et al
- *dia* from within the radiation of *Rhodococcus* species. Microbiology 141: 523–528.
- 47 Rainey FA, S Klatte, RM Kroppenstedt and E Stackebrandt. 1995. Dietzia, a new genus including Dietzia maris com nov, formerly Rhodococcus maris. Int J Syst Bacteriol 45: 32–36.
- 48 Richard MG, D Jenkins, O Hao and G Shimizu. 1982. The Isolation and Characterisation of Filamentous Micro-organisms from Activated Sludge Bulking. Rept No. 81.2, Sanitary Eng Env Hlth Res Lab, University of California, Berkeley, USA.
- 49 Rowbotham TJ and T Cross. 1977. Ecology of *Rhodococcus coprophilus* sp nov. An aerobic nocardioform actinomycete belonging to the *'rhodochrous'* complex. J Gen Microbiol 100: 123–138.
- 50 Ruimy R, P Boiron, V Boivon and R Christen. 1994. A phylogeny of the genus *Nocardia* deduced from the analysis of small sub-unit ribosomal DNA sequences, including transfer of *Nocardia amarae* to the genus *Gordona* as *Gordona amarae* comb nov. FEMS Microbiol Lett 123: 261–268.
- 51 Sackin MJ and D Jones. 1993. Computer-assisted clasification. In: Handbook of New Bacterial Systematics (Goodfellow M and AG O'Donnell, eds), pp 361–381, Academic Press, London.
- 52 Sakai Y, T Mori, K Honda and T Matsumoto. 1983. Activated sludge flotation caused by actinomycetes. Proc Sewage Res 20: 215–217.
- 53 Sanglier JJ, DW Whitehead, GS Saddler, EV Ferguson and M Goodfellow. 1992. Pyrolysis mass spectrometry as a method for the classification, identification and selection of actinomycetes. Gene 115: 235–242.
- 54 Schuppler M, F Mertens, G Schön and UB Göbel. 1995. Molecular characterisation of nocardioform actinomycetes in activated sludge by 16S rRNA analysis. Microbiology 141: 513–521.
- 55 Seviour EM, CJ Williams, RJ Seviour, JA Soddell and KC Lindrea. 1990. A survey of filamentous bacterial populations from foaming activated sludge plants in Eastern States of Australia. Water Res 24: 493–498.
- 56 Sezgin M, MP Lechevalier and PR Karr. 1988. Isolation and identification of actinomycetes present in activated sludge scum. Water Sci Technol 20: 257–263.

- 57 Sneath PHA. 1957. The application of computers to taxonomy. J Gen Microbiol 17: 201–226.
- 58 Sneath PHA and R Johnson. 1972. The influence on numerical taxonomic similarities of errors in microbiological tests. J Gen Microbiol 72: 377–392.
- 59 Sneath PHA and RR Sokal (eds). 1973. Numerical Taxonomy: The Principles and Practice of Numerical Classification. WH Freeman, Baltimore.
- 60 Soddell JA and RJ Seviour. 1990. Microbiology of foaming in activated sludge plants. J Appl Bacteriol 69: 145–176.
- 61 Soddell JA and RJ Seviour. 1994. Incidence and morphological variability of *Nocardia pinensis* in Australian activated sludge. Water Res 28: 2343–2351.
- 62 Soddell JA, G Knight, W Strachan and RJ Seviour. 1992. Nocardioforms, not *Nocardia* foams. Water Sci Tech 26: 455–460.
- 63 Sokal RR and CD Michener. 1958. A statistical method for evaluating systematic relationships. Kans Univ Sci Bull 38: 1409–1438.
- 64 Sokal RR and FJ Rohlf. 1962. The comparison of dendrograms by objective methods. Taxon XI: 33-40.
- 65 Wagner M, R Amann, P Kämpfer, B Assmus, A Hartmann, P Hutzler, N Springer and KH Schleifer. 1994. Identification and *in situ* detection of Gram-negative filamentous bacteria in activated sludge. Syst Appl Microbiol 17: 405–417.
- 66 Wagner M, R Erhart, W Manz, R Amann, D Wedi and KH Schleifer. 1994. *In situ* monitoring of the genus *Acinetobacter* in activated sludge. Appl Environ Microbiol 60: 792–800.
- 67 Wanner J and P Grau. 1989. Identification of filamentous microorganisms from activated sludge: a compromise between wishes, needs and possibilities. Water Res 23: 883–891.
- 68 Wellington EMH and ST Williams. 1978. Preservation of actinomycete inoculum in frozen glycerol. Microb Lett 6: 151–159.
- 69 Windig W, PG Kistemaker and J Haverkamp. 1983. Interpretation of a set of pyrolysis mass spectra by discriminant analysis and graphical rotation. Anal Chem 55: 387–391.